ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

Case No. OA 592 of 2016. FIROJ RAI - VS- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Cowiel No. 5:5-1	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
13	Facility Applicant Applicant	
26.9.2018.	For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Agarwal,	
20.3.2010.	Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Ghosh,	
	Advocate.	
	In this application the applicant, who had	
	applied for the post of Fire Operator and selected and	
	had undergone training, has prayed for a direction upon	
	the respondents to appoint him as Fire Operator on	
	compliance of formalities, if any.	
	It is submitted by Mrs. S. Agarwal, learned	
	advocate for the applicant, that though the applicant was	
	involved in a criminal case in Kurseong P.S. Case no.	
	31/99 dated 23 rd March, 1999, as he was acquitted by	
	judgement dated 31 st March, 2003, passed by the learned	
	Additional Sessions Judge, Darjeeling, appropriate order	
	may be passed directing the authorities to appoint him in	
	the post of Fire Operator. Reliance has been placed on	
	the judgement of the Supreme Court passed in State of	
	Haryana and others –Vs- Dinesh Kumar in 2008(2)SLR	
	331, particularly paragraphs 25 to 30, in support of her	

ORDER SHEET

	FIROJ RAI.
Form No.	

Vs.

OA 592 of 2016.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	submission .	
	Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the state	
	respondent referring to the report filed by the SPIO and	
	Director, West Bengal Fire and Emergency services ,	
	Kolkata submits that since the applicant while filling up	
	the verification roll had given false information regarding	
	his arrest by filling up column 13 with the word "Nil", he	
	has been found unsuitable by the authorities for being	
	appointed.	
	Heard learned advocates for the parties. We	
	find that in column 13 the respondent had sought	
	information whether the applicant was arrested,	
	detained or convicted by a Court of any offence and as	
	the applicant had filled up the said column on 13 th	
	December, 2000 by the word "Nil", we are of the view	
	that it is a suppression of fact as he was arrested on 22 nd	
	March, 1999 though subsequently by judgement dated	
	23 rd March, 2003 acquitted by the learned Additional	
	Sessions Judge, Darjeeling.	
	Under the facts and circumstances of the case,	
	the principles of law laid down in the State of Haryana-	
	Vs- Dinesh Kumar (supra) are not applicable to the facts	

ORDER SHEET

		FIROJ RAI.
Form No.		
		Vs.
	OA 592 of 2016.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

of this case as therein the accused persons had appeared before the Magistrate voluntarily and had prayed for bail whereas in the present case the applicant was arrested and subsequently acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Darjeeling. Therefore, no order is passed on this application. The application is disposed of. (P. Ramesh Kumar) Member (A) Skg.